

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

**Improved Image Retrieval Based On Relevance
Feedback**

Inventor(s):

Yong Rui

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. MS1-610US

1 **RELATED APPLICATIONS**

2 This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No.
3 60/153,730, filed September 13, 1999, entitled "MPEG-7 Enhanced Multimedia
4 Access" to Yong Rui, Jonathan Grudin, Anoop Gupta, and Liwei He, which is
5 hereby incorporated by reference.
6

7 **TECHNICAL FIELD**

8 This invention relates to image storage and retrieval, and more particularly
9 to retrieving images based on relevance feedback.
10

11 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

12 Computer technology has advanced greatly in recent years, allowing the
13 uses for computers to similarly grow. One such use is the storage of images.
14 Databases of images that are accessible to computers are constantly expanding and
15 cover a wide range of areas, including stock images that are made commercially
16 available, images of art collections (e.g., by museums), etc. However, as the
17 number of such images being stored has increased, so too has the difficulty in
18 managing the retrieval of such images. Often times it is difficult for a user to
19 search databases of such images to identify selected ones of the thousands of
20 images that are available.

21 One difficulty in searching image databases is the manner in which images
22 are stored versus the manner in which people think about and view images. It is
23 possible to extract various low-level features regarding images, such as the color
24 of particular portions of an image and shapes identified within an image, and make
25 those features available to an image search engine. However, people don't tend to

1 think of images using such low-level features. For example, a user that desires to
2 retrieve images of brown dogs would typically not be willing and/or able to input
3 search parameters identifying the necessary color codes and particular areas
4 including those color codes, plus whatever low-level shape features are necessary
5 to describe the shape of a dog in order to retrieve those images. Thus, there is
6 currently a significant gap between the capabilities provided by image search
7 engines and the usability desired by people using such engines.

8 One solution is to provide a text-based description of images. In
9 accordance with this solution, images are individually and manually categorized
10 by people, and various descriptive words for each image are added to a database.
11 For example, a picture of a brown dog licking a small boy's face may include key
12 words such as dog, brown, child, laugh, humor, etc. There are, however, problems
13 with this solution. One such problem is that it requires manual categorization – an
14 individual(s) must take the time to look at a picture, decide which key words to
15 include for the picture, and record those key words. Another problem is that such
16 a process is subjective. People tend to view images in different ways, viewing
17 shapes, colors, and other features differently. With such a manual process, the key
18 words will be skewed towards the way the individual cataloging the images views
19 the images, and thus different from the way many other people will view the
20 images.

21 The invention described below addresses these disadvantages, providing for
22 improved image retrieval based on relevance feedback.

23 24 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25 Improved image retrieval based on relevance feedback is described herein.

1 According to one aspect, a hierarchical (per-feature) approach is used in
2 comparing images. Multiple query vectors are generated for an initial image by
3 extracting multiple low-level features from the initial image. When determining
4 how closely a particular image in an image collection matches that initial image, a
5 distance is calculated between the query vectors and corresponding low-level
6 feature vectors extracted from the particular image. Once these individual
7 distances are calculated, they are combined to generate an overall distance that
8 represents how closely the two images match.

9 According to another aspect, when a set of potentially relevant images are
10 presented to a user, the user is given the opportunity to provide feedback regarding
11 the relevancy of the individual images in the set. This relevancy feedback is then
12 used to generate a new set of potentially relevant images for presentation to the
13 user. The relevancy feedback is used to influence the generation of the query
14 vector, influence the weights assigned to individual distances between query
15 vectors and feature vectors when generating an overall distance, and to influence
16 the determination of the distances between the query vectors and the feature
17 vectors.

18 According to another aspect, the calculation of a distance between a query
19 vector and a feature vector involves the use of a matrix to weight the individual
20 vector elements. The type of matrix used varies dynamically based on the number
21 of images for which feedback has been received from the user and the number of
22 feature elements in the feature vector. If the number of images for which feedback
23 has been received is less than the number of feature elements, then a diagonal
24 matrix is used (which assigns weights to the individual vector elements in the
25 distance calculation). However, if the number of images for which feedback has

1 been received equals or exceeds the number of feature elements, then a full matrix
2 is used (which transforms the low-level features of the query vector and the
3 feature vector to a higher level feature space, as well as assigns weights to the
4 individual transformed elements in the distance calculation).

6 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

7 The present invention is illustrated by way of example and not limitation in
8 the figures of the accompanying drawings. The same numbers are used
9 throughout the figures to reference like components and/or features.

10 Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary network environment
11 such as may be used in accordance with certain embodiments of the invention.

12 Fig. 2 illustrates an example of a suitable operating environment in which
13 the invention may be implemented.

14 Fig. 3 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary image retrieval
15 architecture in accordance with certain embodiments of the invention.

16 Fig. 4 is a flowchart illustrating an exemplary process, from the perspective
17 of a client, for using relevance feedback to retrieve images.

18 Fig. 5 is a flowchart illustrating an exemplary process, from the perspective
19 of an image server, for using relevance feedback to retrieve images.

21 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

22 Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary network environment
23 such as may be used in accordance with certain embodiments of the invention. In
24 the network environment 100 of Fig. 1, an image server 102 is coupled to one or
25 more image collections 104. Each image collection stores one or more images of

1 a wide variety of types. In one implementation, the images are still images,
2 although it is to be appreciated that other types of images can also be used with the
3 invention. For example, each frame of moving video can be treated as a single
4 still image. Image collections 104 may be coupled directly to image server 102,
5 incorporated into image server 102, or alternatively indirectly coupled to image
6 server 102 such as via a network 106.

7 Also coupled to image server 102 is one or more client devices 108. Client
8 devices 108 may be coupled to image server 102 directly or alternatively indirectly
9 (such as via network 106). Image server 102 acts as an interface between clients
10 108 and image collections 104. Image server 102 allows clients 108 to retrieve
11 images from image collections 104 and render those images. Users of clients 108
12 can then input relevance feedback, which is returned to image server 102 and used
13 to refine the image retrieval process, as discussed in more detail below.

14 Network 106 represents any of a wide variety of wired and/or wireless
15 networks, including public and/or private networks (such as the Internet, local area
16 networks (LANs), wide area networks (WANs), etc.). A client 108, image server
17 102, or image collection 104 can be coupled to network 106 in any of a wide
18 variety of conventional manners, such as wired or wireless modems, direct
19 network connections, etc.

20 Communication among devices coupled to network 106 can be
21 accomplished using one or more protocols. In one implementation, network 106
22 includes the Internet. Information is communicated among devices coupled to the
23 Internet using, for example, the well-known Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP),
24 although other protocols (either public and/or proprietary) could alternatively be
25 used.

Fig. 2 illustrates an example of a suitable operating environment in which the invention may be implemented. The illustrated operating environment is only one example of a suitable operating environment and is not intended to suggest any limitation as to the scope of use or functionality of the invention. Other well known computing systems, environments, and/or configurations that may be suitable for use with the invention include, but are not limited to, personal computers, server computers, hand-held or laptop devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based systems, programmable consumer electronics (e.g., digital video recorders), gaming consoles, cellular telephones, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe computers, distributed computing environments that include any of the above systems or devices, and the like.

Fig. 2 shows a general example of a computer 142 that can be used in accordance with the invention. Computer 142 is shown as an example of a computer that can perform the functions of client 108 or server 102 of Fig. 1. Computer 142 includes one or more processors or processing units 144, a system memory 146, and a bus 148 that couples various system components including the system memory 146 to processors 144.

The bus 148 represents one or more of any of several types of bus structures, including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, an accelerated graphics port, and a processor or local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. The system memory 146 includes read only memory (ROM) 150 and random access memory (RAM) 152. A basic input/output system (BIOS) 154, containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within computer 142, such as during start-up, is stored in ROM 150. Computer 142 further includes a hard disk drive 156 for reading from and writing

1 to a hard disk, not shown, connected to bus 148 via a hard disk drive interface 157
2 (e.g., a SCSI, ATA, or other type of interface); a magnetic disk drive 158 for
3 reading from and writing to a removable magnetic disk 160, connected to bus 148
4 via a magnetic disk drive interface 161; and an optical disk drive 162 for reading
5 from and/or writing to a removable optical disk 164 such as a CD ROM, DVD, or
6 other optical media, connected to bus 148 via an optical drive interface 165. The
7 drives and their associated computer-readable media provide nonvolatile storage
8 of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules and other data
9 for computer 142. Although the exemplary environment described herein employs
10 a hard disk, a removable magnetic disk 160 and a removable optical disk 164, it
11 will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types of computer readable
12 media which can store data that is accessible by a computer, such as magnetic
13 cassettes, flash memory cards, random access memories (RAMs), read only
14 memories (ROM), and the like, may also be used in the exemplary operating
15 environment.

16 A number of program modules may be stored on the hard disk, magnetic
17 disk 160, optical disk 164, ROM 150, or RAM 152, including an operating system
18 170, one or more application programs 172, other program modules 174, and
19 program data 176. A user may enter commands and information into computer
20 142 through input devices such as keyboard 178 and pointing device 180. Other
21 input devices (not shown) may include a microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite
22 dish, scanner, or the like. These and other input devices are connected to the
23 processing unit 144 through an interface 168 that is coupled to the system bus
24 (e.g., a serial port interface, a parallel port interface, a universal serial bus (USB)
25 interface, etc.). A monitor 184 or other type of display device is also connected to

1 the system bus 148 via an interface, such as a video adapter 186. In addition to the
2 monitor, personal computers typically include other peripheral output devices (not
3 shown) such as speakers and printers.

4 Computer 142 operates in a networked environment using logical
5 connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 188.
6 The remote computer 188 may be another personal computer, a server, a router, a
7 network PC, a peer device or other common network node, and typically includes
8 many or all of the elements described above relative to computer 142, although
9 only a memory storage device 190 has been illustrated in Fig. 2. The logical
10 connections depicted in Fig. 2 include a local area network (LAN) 192 and a wide
11 area network (WAN) 194. Such networking environments are commonplace in
12 offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets, and the Internet. In certain
13 embodiments of the invention, computer 142 executes an Internet Web browser
14 program (which may optionally be integrated into the operating system 170) such
15 as the "Internet Explorer" Web browser manufactured and distributed by
16 Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington.

17 When used in a LAN networking environment, computer 142 is connected
18 to the local network 192 through a network interface or adapter 196. When used
19 in a WAN networking environment, computer 142 typically includes a modem 198
20 or other means for establishing communications over the wide area network 194,
21 such as the Internet. The modem 198, which may be internal or external, is
22 connected to the system bus 148 via a serial port interface 168. In a networked
23 environment, program modules depicted relative to the personal computer 142, or
24 portions thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. It will be
25

1 appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of
2 establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

3 Computer 142 also includes a broadcast tuner 200. Broadcast tuner 200
4 receives broadcast signals either directly (e.g., analog or digital cable
5 transmissions fed directly into tuner 200) or via a reception device (e.g., via
6 antenna 110 or satellite dish 114 of Fig. 1).

7 Computer 142 typically includes at least some form of computer readable
8 media. Computer readable media can be any available media that can be accessed
9 by computer 142. By way of example, and not limitation, computer readable
10 media may comprise computer storage media and communication media.
11 Computer storage media includes volatile and nonvolatile, removable and non-
12 removable media implemented in any method or technology for storage of
13 information such as computer readable instructions, data structures, program
14 modules or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to,
15 RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM,
16 digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic
17 tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other media
18 which can be used to store the desired information and which can be accessed by
19 computer 142. Communication media typically embodies computer readable
20 instructions, data structures, program modules or other data in a modulated data
21 signal such as a carrier wave or other transport mechanism and includes any
22 information delivery media. The term "modulated data signal" means a signal that
23 has one or more of its characteristics set or changed in such a manner as to encode
24 information in the signal. By way of example, and not limitation, communication
25 media includes wired media such as wired network or direct-wired connection,

1 and wireless media such as acoustic, RF, infrared and other wireless media.
2 Combinations of any of the above should also be included within the scope of
3 computer readable media.

4 The invention has been described in part in the general context of
5 computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, executed by one or
6 more computers or other devices. Generally, program modules include routines,
7 programs, objects, components, data structures, etc. that perform particular tasks
8 or implement particular abstract data types. Typically the functionality of the
9 program modules may be combined or distributed as desired in various
10 embodiments.

11 For purposes of illustration, programs and other executable program
12 components such as the operating system are illustrated herein as discrete blocks,
13 although it is recognized that such programs and components reside at various
14 times in different storage components of the computer, and are executed by the
15 data processor(s) of the computer.

16 Alternatively, the invention may be implemented in hardware or a
17 combination of hardware, software, and/or firmware. For example, one or more
18 application specific integrated circuits (ASICs) could be designed or programmed
19 to carry out the invention.

20 Fig. 3 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary image retrieval
21 architecture in accordance with certain embodiments of the invention. The image
22 retrieval architecture 220 illustrated in Fig. 3 is implemented, for example, in an
23 image server 102 of Fig. 1. Architecture 220 includes a query vector generator
24 222, a comparator 224, multiple images 226 and corresponding low-level image
25 features 228, and an image retriever 230.

1 Multiple low-level features are extracted for each image 226. These
2 features are described as being extracted prior to the image retrieval process
3 discussed herein, although the features could alternatively be extracted during the
4 image retrieval process. Each feature is a vector (referred to as a feature vector)
5 that includes multiple feature elements. The number of feature elements in a
6 feature vector can vary on a per-feature basis.

7 Low-level image features 228 can include any of a wide variety of
8 conventional features, such as: color moment features, color histogram features,
9 wavelet texture features, Fourier descriptor features, water-fill edge features, etc.
10 In one implementation, low-level features 228 include three features: a color
11 moments feature, a wavelet based texture feature, and a water-fill edge feature.
12 The color moments feature is a 6-element vector obtained by extracting the mean
13 and standard deviation from three color channels in the HSV (hue, saturation,
14 value) color space. The wavelet based texture feature is a 10-element vector
15 obtained by a wavelet filter bank decomposing the image into 10 de-correlated
16 sub-bands, with each sub-band capturing the characteristics of a certain scale and
17 orientation of the original image. The standard deviation of the wavelet
18 coefficients for each sub-band is extracted, and these standard deviations used as
19 the elements of the feature vector. The water-fill edge feature is an 18-element
20 vector that is obtained by extracting 18 different elements from the edge maps:
21 the maximum filling time and associated fork count, the maximum fork count and
22 associated filing time, the filling time histogram for each of seven bins (ranges of
23 values), and the fork count histogram for each of seven bins. For additional
24 information regarding the water-fill edge feature can be found in Xiang Sean
25 Zhou, Yong Rui, and Thomas S. Huang, "Water-Filling: A Novel Way for Image

1 Structural Feature Extraction", Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Image
2 Processing, Kobe, Japan, October 1999, which is hereby incorporated by
3 reference.

4 Low-level image features 228 can be stored and made accessible in any of a
5 wide variety of formats. In one implementation, the low-level features 228 are
6 generated and stored in accordance with the MPEG-7 (Moving Pictures Expert
7 Group) format. The MPEG-7 format standardizes a set of Descriptors (Ds) that
8 can be used to describe various types of multimedia content, as well as a set of
9 Description Schemes (DSs) to specify the structure of the Ds and their
10 relationship. In MPEG-7, the individual features 228 are each described as one or
11 more Descriptors, and the combination of features is described as a Description
12 Scheme.

13 During the image retrieval process, search criteria in the forma of an initial
14 image selection 232 is input to query vector generator 222. The initial image
15 selection 232 can be in any of a wide variety of forms. For example, the initial
16 image may be an image chosen from images 226 in accordance with some other
17 retrieval process (e.g., based on a descriptive keyword search), the image may be
18 an image that belongs to the user and is not included in images 226, etc. The
19 initial selection 232 may or may not include low-level features for the image. If
20 low-level features that will be used by comparator 224 are not included, then those
21 low-level features are generated by query vector generator 222 based on initial
22 selection 232 in a conventional manner. Note that these may be the same features
23 as low-level image features 228, or alternatively a subset of the features 228.
24 However, if the low-level features are already included, then query vector
25 generator 222 need not generate them. Regardless of whether generator 222

1 generates the low-level features for initial image selection 232, these low-level
2 features are output by query vector generator 222 as query vectors 234.

3 Comparator 224 performs an image comparison based on the low-level
4 image features 228 and the query vectors 234. This comparison includes possibly
5 mapping both the low-level image features 228 and the query vectors 234 to a
6 higher level feature space and determining how closely the transformed (mapped)
7 features and query vectors match. An identification 236 of a set of potentially
8 relevant images is then output by comparator 224 to image retriever 230. The
9 potentially relevant images are those images that comparator 224 determines have
10 low-level image features 228 most closely matching the query vectors. Retriever
11 230 obtains the identified images from images 226 and returns those images to the
12 requestor (e.g., a client 108 of Fig. 1) as potentially relevant images 238.

13 A user is then able to provide relevance feedback 240 to query vector
14 generator 222. In one implementation, each of the potentially relevant images 238
15 is displayed to the user at a client device along with a corresponding graphical
16 "degree of relevance" slider. The user is able to slide the slider along a slide bar
17 ranging from, for example, "Not Relevant" to "Highly Relevant". Each location
18 along the slide bar that the slider can be positioned at by the user has a
19 corresponding value that is returned to the generator 222 and comparator 224 and
20 incorporated into their processes as discussed in more detail below. In one
21 implementation, if the user provides no feedback, then a default relevancy
22 feedback is assigned to the image (e.g., equivalent to "no opinion"). Alternatively,
23 other user interface mechanisms may be used to receive user feedback, such as
24 radio buttons corresponding to multiple different relevancy feedbacks (e.g., Highly
25

1 Relevant, Relevant, No Opinion, Irrelevant, and Highly Irrelevant), verbal
2 feedback (e.g., via speech recognition), etc.

3 The relevance feedback is used by query vector generator 222 to generate a
4 new query vector and comparator 224 to identify a new set of potentially relevant
5 images. The user relevance feedback 240 can be numeric values that are directly
6 used by generator 222 and comparator 224, such as: an integer or real value from
7 zero to ten; an integer or real value from negative five to positive five; values
8 corresponding to highly relevant, somewhat relevant, no opinion, somewhat
9 irrelevant, and highly irrelevant of 7, 3, 0, -3, and -7, respectively. Alternatively,
10 the user relevance feedback 240 can be an indication in some other format (e.g.,
11 the text or encoding of "Highly Relevant") and converted to a useable numeric
12 value by generator 222, comparator 224, and/or another component (not
13 illustrated).

14 The second set of potentially relevant images displayed to the user is
15 determined by comparator 224 incorporating the relevance feedback 240 received
16 from the user into the comparison process. This process can be repeated any
17 number of times, with the feedback provided each time being used to further refine
18 the image retrieval process.

19 Note that the components illustrated in architecture 220 may be distributed
20 across multiple devices. For example, low-level features 228 may be stored
21 locally at image server 102 of Fig. 1 (e.g., on a local hard drive) while images 226
22 may be stored at one or more remote locations (e.g., accessed via network 106).

23 The image retrieval process discussed herein refers to several different
24 types of matrixes, including diagonal matrixes, full matrixes, and the identity
25 matrix. A diagonal matrix refers to a matrix that can have any value along the

diagonal, where the diagonal of a matrix B are the elements of the matrix at positions B_{jj} , and values not along the diagonal are zero. The identity matrix is a special case of the diagonal matrix where the elements of the matrix along the diagonal all have the value of one and all other elements in the matrix have a value of zero. A full matrix is a matrix in which any element can have any value. These different types of matrixes are well-known to those skilled in the art, and thus will not be discussed further except as they pertain to the present invention.

The specific manner in which query vectors are generated, comparisons are made, and relevance feedback is incorporated into both of these processes will now be described. It is to be appreciated that these specific manners described are only examples of the processes and that various modifications can be made to the these descriptions.

Each single image of the images 226 has multiple (I) corresponding low-level features in the features 228. As used herein, \vec{x}_{mi} refers to the i^{th} feature vector of the m^{th} image, so:

$$\vec{x}_{mi} = [x_{mi1}, \dots, x_{mik}, \dots, x_{miK_i}]$$

where K_i is the length of the feature vector \vec{x}_{mi} .

A query vector is generated as necessary for each of the low-level feature spaces. The query vector is initially generated by extracting the low-level feature elements in each of the feature spaces from the initial selection 232. The query vector can be subsequently modified by the relevance feedback 240, as discussed in more detail below. The query vector in a feature space i is:

$$\vec{q}_i = [q_{i1}, \dots, q_{ik}, \dots, q_{iK_i}]$$

To compare the query vector (\vec{q}_i) and a corresponding feature vector of an image m (\vec{x}_{mi}), the distance between the two vectors is determined. A wide variety of different distance metrics can be used, and in one implementation the generalized Euclidean distance is used. The generalized Euclidean distance between the two vectors, referred to as g_{mi} , is calculated as follows:

$$g_{mi} = (\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi})^T W_i (\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi})$$

where W_i is a matrix that both optionally transforms the low-level feature space into a higher level feature space and then assigns weights to each feature element in the higher level feature space. When sufficient data is available to perform the transformation, the low-level feature space is transformed into a higher level feature space that better models user desired high-level concepts.

The matrix W_i can be decomposed as follows:

$$W_i = P_i^T \Lambda_i P_i$$

where P_i is an orthonormal matrix consisting of the eigen vectors of W_i , and Λ_i is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are the eigen values of W_i . Thus, the calculation to determine the distance g_{mi} can be rewritten as:

$$g_{mi} = (P_i(\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi}))^T \Lambda_i (P_i(\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi}))$$

where the low-level feature space is transformed into the higher level feature space by the mapping matrix P_i and then weights are assigned to the feature elements of the new feature space by the weighting matrix Λ_i .

However, in some situations there may be insufficient data to reliably perform the transformation into the higher level feature space. In such situations, the matrix W_i is simply the weighting matrix Λ_i , so g_{mi} can be rewritten as:

$$g_{mi} = (\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi})^T \Lambda_i (\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi}).$$

Typically, each of multiple (I) low-level feature vectors of images in the database is compared to a corresponding query vector and the individual distances between these vectors determined. Once all of the I low-level feature vectors have been compared to the corresponding query vectors and distances determined, these distances are combined to generate an overall distance d_m , which is defined as follows:

$$d_m = U(g_{mi})$$

where $U()$ is a function that combines the individual distances g_{mi} to form the overall distance d_m . Thus, a hierarchical approach is taken to determining how closely two images match: first individual distances between the feature vectors and the query vectors are determined, and then these individual distances are combined.

The function $U()$ can be any of a variety of different combinatorial functions. In one implementation, the function $U()$ is a weighted summation of the individual distances, resulting in:

$$d_m = \sum_{i=1}^I u_i [(\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi})^T W_i (\vec{q}_i - \vec{x}_{mi})]$$

The feature vectors of the individual images (\vec{x}_{mi}) are known (they are features 228). The additional values needed to solve for the overall distance d_m are: the

weights (u_i) of each individual feature distance, the query vector ($\vec{q_i}$) for each feature, and the transformation matrix (W_i) for each feature. For the first comparison (before any relevance feedback 240 is received), each query vector ($\vec{q_i}$) is simply the corresponding extracted feature elements of the initial selection 232, the weights (u_i) of each individual distance are the same (e.g., a value of $1/I$, where I is the number of features used), and each transformation matrix (W_i) is the identity matrix. The determination of these individual values based on relevance feedback is discussed in more detail below.

Alternatively, the generalized Euclidean distance could also be used to compute d_m , as follows:

$$d_m = \vec{g_{mi}}^T U \vec{g_{mi}}$$

where U is an ($I \times I$) full matrix.

The overall distance d_m is thus calculated for each image 226. Alternatively, the overall distance d_m may be calculated for only a subset of images 226. Which subset of images 226 to use can be identified in any of a variety of manners, such as using well-known multi-dimensional indexing techniques (e.g., R-tree or R*-tree).

A number of images 226 having the smallest distance d_m are then selected as potentially relevant images to be presented to a user. The number of images 226 can vary, and in one implementation is determined empirically based on both the size of display devices typically being used to view the images and the size of the images themselves. In one implementation, twenty images are returned as potentially relevant.

User relevance feedback 240 identifies degrees of relevance for one or more of the potentially relevant images 238 (that is, a value indicating how relevant each of one or more of the images 238 is). A user may indicate that only selected ones of the images 238 are relevant, and user relevance feedback 240 identify degrees of relevance for only those selected images. Alternatively, user relevance feedback 240 may identify degrees of relevance for all images 238, such as by assigning a default value to those images for which the user did not assign a relevancy. These default values (and corresponding image features) can then be ignored by query vector generator 222 and comparator 224 (e.g., dropped from relevance feedback 240), or alternatively treated as user input feedback and used by vector generator 222 and comparator 224 when generating new values.

Once relevance feedback 240 is received, query vector generator 222 generates new query vectors 234. The new query vectors are referred to as \vec{q}_i^* , and are defined as follows:

$$\vec{q}_i^* = \frac{\vec{\pi}^T X_i}{\sum_{n=1}^N \pi_n}$$

where N represents the number of potentially relevant images for which the user input relevance feedback (e.g., non-default relevance values were returned), which can be less than the number of potentially relevant images that were displayed to the user (N may also be referred to as the number of training samples); π_n represents the degree of relevance of image n as indicated by the relevance feedback from the user (that is, a degree of relevance value associated with the relevance indicated by the user), $\vec{\pi}^T$ represents a $(1 \times N)$ vector of the individual π_n values, and X_i represents a training sample matrix for feature I that is

1 obtained by stacking the N training vectors (\vec{x}_{ni}) into a matrix, and resulting in an
2 ($N \times K_i$) matrix.

3 Alternatively, N (both here and elsewhere in this discussion) may
4 represent the number of potentially relevant images for which relevance feedback
5 was received regardless of the source (e.g., including both user-input feedback and
6 default relevance values).

7 The process of presenting potentially relevant images to a user and
8 receiving relevance feedback for at least portions of that set of potentially relevant
9 images can be repeated multiple times. The results of each set of feedback can be
10 saved and used for determining subsequent query vectors (as well as the weights
11 (u_i) of each individual distance and each transformation matrix (W_i)) in the
12 process, or alternatively only a certain number of preceding sets of feedback may
13 be used. For example, if three sets of twenty images each are presented to a user
14 and relevance feedback returned for each image of the three sets, then to generate
15 the fourth set the feedback from all sixty images may be used. Alternatively, only
16 the feedback from the most recent set of twenty images may be used (or the two
17 most recent sets, etc.).

18 Comparator 224 also receives relevance feedback 240 and uses relevance
19 feedback 240 to generate a new value for W_i , which is referred to as W_i^* . The
20 value of W_i^* is either a full matrix or a diagonal matrix. When the number of
21 potentially relevant images for which the user input relevance feedback (N) is less
22 than the length of the feature vector (K_i), the value of W_i^* as a full matrix cannot
23 be calculated (and is difficult to reliably estimate, if possible at all). Thus, in
24 situations where $N < K_i$, W_i^* is a diagonal matrix; otherwise W_i^* is a full matrix.

To generate the full matrix, W_i^* is calculated as follows:

$$W_i^* = (\det(C_i))^{\frac{1}{K_i}} C_i^{-1}$$

where $\det(C_i)$ is the matrix determinant of C_i , and C_i is the $(K_i \times K_i)$ weighted covariance matrix of X_i . In other words,

$$C_{ir, is} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \pi_n (x_{nir} - q_{ir})(x_{nis} - q_{is})}{\sum_{n=1}^N \pi_n}$$

where r is the row index of the matrix C_i and ranges from 1 to K_i , s is the column index of the matrix C_i and ranges from 1 to K_i , N represents the number of potentially relevant images for which the user input relevance feedback, π_n represents the degree of relevance of image n , x_{nir} refers to the r^{th} element of the feature vector for feature i of image n , q_{ir} refers to the r^{th} element of the query vector for feature i , x_{nis} refers to the s^{th} element of the feature vector for feature i of the n^{th} image, and q_{is} refers to the s^{th} element of the query vector for feature i .

To generate the diagonal matrix, each diagonal element of the matrix is calculated as follows:

$$w_{ikk} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{ik}}$$

where w_{ikk} is the kk^{th} element of matrix W_i and σ_{ik} is the standard deviation of the sequence of x_{ik} 's, and where each x_{ik} is the k^{th} element of feature i .

It should be noted that the determination of whether W_i is to be a full matrix or a diagonal matrix is done on a per-image basis as well as a per-feature

1 basis for each image. Thus, depending on the length of each feature vector, W_i
2 may be different types of matrixes for different features.

3 It should also be noted that in situations where W_i is a diagonal matrix, the
4 distance (g_{mi}) between a query vector (\vec{q}_i) and a feature vector (\vec{x}_{mi}) is based on
5 weighting the feature elements but not transforming the feature elements to a
6 higher level feature space. This is because there is an insufficient number of
7 training samples to reliably perform the transformation. However, in situations
8 where W_i is a full matrix, the distance (g_{mi}) between a query vector (\vec{q}_i) and a
9 feature vector (\vec{x}_{mi}) is based on both transforming the low-level features to a
10 higher level feature space and weighting the transformed feature elements.

11 Once relevance feedback 240 is received, comparator 224 also generates a
12 new value for u_i , which is referred to as u_i^* , and is calculated as follows:

$$13 \quad u_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^I \sqrt{\frac{f_j}{f_i}}$$

14
15 where

$$16 \quad f_i = \sum_{n=1}^N \pi_n g_{ni}$$

17
18 where N represents the number of potentially relevant images for which the user
19 input relevance feedback, π_n represents the degree of relevance of image n , and
20 g_{ni} (g_{mi} as discussed above) represents the distance between the previous query
21 vector (\vec{q}_i) and the feature vector (\vec{x}_{ni}).
22

23 Fig. 4 is a flowchart illustrating an exemplary process, from the perspective
24 of a client, for using relevance feedback to retrieve images. The process of Fig. 4
25

1 is carried out by a client 108 of Fig. 1, and can be implemented in software. Fig. 4
2 is discussed with reference to components in Figs. 1 and 3.

3 First, initial search criteria (e.g., an image) is entered by the user (act 260).
4 The initial search criteria is used by image server 102 to identify potentially
5 relevant images 238 which are received (from server 102) and rendered at client
6 108 (act 262) as the initial search results. The client then receives an indication
7 from the user as to whether the search results are satisfactory. This indication can
8 be direct (e.g., selection of an on-screen button indicating that the results are
9 satisfactory or to stop the retrieval process) or indirect (e.g., input of relevance
10 feedback indicating that one or more of the images is not relevant). If the search
11 results are satisfactory, then the process ends (act 266).

12 However, if the search results are not satisfactory, then the relevance of the
13 search results is identified (act 268). The relevance of one or more images in the
14 search results is identified by user feedback (e.g., user selection of one of multiple
15 options indicating how relevant the image is). A new search request that includes
16 the relevance feedback regarding the search results is then submitted to server 102
17 (act 270). In response to the search request, the server 102 generates new search
18 results (based in part on the relevance feedback), which are received by client 108
19 (act 272). The process then returns to act 264, allowing for additional user
20 relevance feedback as needed.

21 Fig. 5 is a flowchart illustrating an exemplary process, from the perspective
22 of an image server, for using relevance feedback to retrieve images. The process
23 of Fig. 5 is carried out by an image server 102 of Fig. 1, and can be implemented
24 in software. Fig. 5 is discussed with reference to components in Figs. 1 and 3.
25

1 To begin the image retrieval process, search criteria are received by image
2 server 102 (act 282) as initial selection 232, in response to which generator 222
3 generates multiple query vectors (act 284). Comparator 224 then maps the low-
4 level feature vectors of images in image collection 104 to a higher level feature
5 vector for each image and compares the higher level feature vectors to the query
6 vector (act 286). The images that most closely match the query vectors (based on
7 the comparison in act 286) are then identified (act 288), and forwarded to the
8 requesting client 108 (act 290). Alternatively, in some situations the mapping to
9 the higher level feature space may not occur, and the comparison and
10 identification may be performed based on the low-level feature space.

11 Server 102 then receives user feedback from the requesting client 108
12 regarding the relevance of one or more of the identified images (act 292). Upon
13 receipt of this relevance feedback, generator 222 generates a new query vector
14 based in part on the relevance feedback and comparator 224 uses the relevance
15 feedback to generate a new transformation matrix and new feature distance
16 weights (act 294). The process then returns to act 286, where the new mapping
17 parameters and new query vector are used to identify new images for forwarding
18 to the client.

19 20 **Conclusion**

21 Although the description above uses language that is specific to structural
22 features and/or methodological acts, it is to be understood that the invention
23 defined in the appended claims is not limited to the specific features or acts
24 described. Rather, the specific features and acts are disclosed as exemplary forms
25 of implementing the invention.